Tax Service Quality, Trust in Government and Tax Compliance among Small and Medium Enterprises in North Rift Economic Bloc

Amos Kiptum*[1], Collins Kapkiyai[2] , Daniel Kirui[3]

[1,2,3] Department of Accounting and Finance, Moi University, Kenya
* Corresponding author: amktum@yahoo.com

Abstract

Purpose- The study sought to establish the indirect effect of trust in government on the relationship between the tax service quality and tax compliance among SMEs in North Rift Economic Bloc, Kenya.

Design/Methodology- Based on the social contract theory, this study has a positivist philosophy that is informed by an explanatory research design. The target population was 21,232 SMEs; the sample size used in this study consisted of 393 SME owners/managers selected through a stratified sampling technique. Data were collected using a self-administered questionnaire, and Cronbach's alpha with factor analysis was used to establish the instrument's reliability and validity. Descriptive and inferential statistics were employed to test the hypothesis, and hierarchical regression using the Hayes Process macro was performed.

Findings-The study revealed that tax service quality (β =.541, p=.000<0.05) and trust in government (β =.375, p=.000<0.05) are significantly positively related to tax compliance. In addition, the tax service quality was found to have a significant effect on trust in government (β =.395, p =.000<0.05). In addition, the results showed that trust in government mediates the relationship between tax service quality and tax compliance (β =.1482, CI=.0981, .2015).

Practical Implications- These findings underscore the need for governments to improve the quality tax services, as this may be able to help in gaining public trust which will ultimately increase SMEs compliance with taxes. There is scope for future research to incorporate mixed-method approaches in order to discover yet more factors affecting tax compliance.

Introduction

Taxation is crucial for generating government revenue for financing government expenses such as public goods, infrastructure, and poverty alleviation initiatives (Alshira'h et al., 2020). It also plays a very significant role in maintaining fiscal policy and encouraging economic growth and sustained government accountability (Sebele-Mpofu, 2020). Effective tax systems are fundamental in ensuring fiscal space, reducing reliance on foreign aid to finance deficits, and further supporting economic growth and development (Ho et al., 2023). In developing countries, where the informal sector often dominates the economy, tax systems are particularly important in fostering financial independence, reducing poverty, and promoting sustainable growth.

However, despite the critical importance of taxation, many governments face significant challenges in collecting sufficient tax revenues to meet their budgetary needs (Dang & Nguyen, 2022). These difficulties often arise from structural inefficiencies in tax systems, tax evasion, and a lack of trust between taxpayers and tax authorities (Abdu & Adem, 2023). Tax non-compliance undermines government efforts to collect adequate revenues, leading to budget deficits that often necessitate borrowing or external aid (Yong & Freudenberg, 2020). Addressing these challenges requires a deep understanding of the factors influencing tax compliance, particularly in sectors that are difficult to tax, such as the informal economy and small and medium enterprises (SMEs).

Tax compliance refers to taxpayers' adherence to tax laws and regulations, encompassing aspects of ethics, legal infrastructure, and other prevailing environmental forces within a given context (Nguyen, 2022). It refers to the extent to which a taxpayer adheres to tax laws by honestly and fully reporting the taxable income, filing tax returns, and paying taxes on time (Santoro & Waiswa, 2024). Tax compliance is a dynamic interplay where taxpayers engage with tax authorities, influenced by perceptions of fairness in the tax system. Compliance is viewed through two lenses: enforced compliance, driven by penalties and audits, and voluntary compliance, rooted in trust, civic duty, and perceived societal benefits. Non-compliance can be intentional or unintentional and includes failure to file tax returns, underreporting of income, and overstatement of tax deductions (Randlane, 2016). Both forms of non-compliance adversely impact the delivery of public goods and services, undermine the government's legitimacy, lead to social injustices, and aggravate budget deficits. When individuals or businesses do not pay their fair share of taxes, it places a disproportionate burden on those who do comply, exacerbating social divisions and undermining the legitimacy of the tax system (Di Nola et al., 2021).

The scale of the tax compliance problem varies across different regions and income levels. In OECD countries, it causes an annual tax revenue loss of 2-3% compared to 6-13% revenue loss in low-income countries. In developing countries, the informal economy and lack of a sophisticated tax framework worsen tax evasion (Prichard & Dom, 2022). In many developing countries, such as Kenya, the informal economy accounts for a substantial portion of economic activity, yet it remains largely untaxed due to administrative inefficiencies, lack of enforcement, and the complexity of tax systems (Maritim, 2020). As a result, governments are often unable to collect the revenue needed to fund critical social programs and invest in infrastructure, which are essential for fostering long-term economic growth and poverty reduction.

The SME sector in Kenya is a critical yet under-taxed component of the economy, serving as the backbone of economic activity by providing a significant share of employment and contributing to overall growth (Odongo & Munene, 2023). Despite their importance, many SMEs exhibit non-compliance with tax regulations, primarily due to high compliance costs, the complexity of tax laws, and a pervasive lack of trust in government institutions (Murunga et al., 2021). The informal nature of numerous SMEs further complicates their monitoring and taxation, hindering the government's capacity to generate sufficient revenue to fulfill its budgetary obligations.

50 Kenya's tax revenues are the main source of government funding, accounting for 89.4% of total government
51 budget. However, the tax revenue to GDP ratio has declined over the years despite tax reforms, leading to fiscal
52 deficits and higher levels of borrowing (Murunga et al., 2021). Despite ongoing tax reforms aimed at improving
53 tax compliance and expanding the tax base, the ratio of tax revenue to GDP has been declining, leading to
54 growing fiscal deficits. These deficits have forced the government to increase its reliance on borrowing, both
55 domestically and internationally, to finance its budget. This reliance on debt has raised concerns about the
56 sustainability of Kenya's fiscal policy and the long-term health of its economy.

57 Given the importance of tax compliance for fiscal sustainability and economic development, understanding the
58 factors that influence SME compliance is critical. Previous research on tax compliance reveals that both
59 economic and socio-psychological factors influence taxpayers' compliance (Mohammed & Tangl, 2023).
60 Traditional economic theories suggest that the likelihood of audits and the severity of penalties impact taxpayer
61 compliance behavior (Feld & Frey, 2019). While enforcement activities have their effects on deterrence, recent
62 studies advocate for a broader approach that psychological and social factors as reasons for compliance (Alm,
63 2023). Empirical studies show that many individuals comply with tax obligations despite low fines and audit
64 probabilities, suggesting that other factors are also at play. Social psychologists have linked tax compliance to
65 trust in government, perceptions of government legitimacy, and societal norms. Therefore, tax compliance is
66 influenced not only by economic factors but also by social factors, personal values, and a sense of moral
67 responsibility.

68 This study's theoretical framework is based on social contract theory, which illustrates the relationship between
69 taxpayers and the government. This theory suggests that individuals willingly surrender certain freedoms,
70 including part of their income as taxes, in exchange for the protection of their rights and the provision of public
71 goods (Makovicky & Smith, 2020). This reciprocal relationship hinges on trust: when citizens believe the
72 government acts in their best interest, they are more likely to comply with tax laws. Voluntary tax compliance
73 increases when taxpayers are confident their contributions will be used effectively for essential services like
74 education and healthcare. Conversely, low trust, often stemming from perceptions of corruption or inefficiency,
75 can lead to non-compliance. Empirical evidence supports that trust in government significantly influences tax
76 compliance, especially in developing countries where governance issues persist. Enhancing public service quality
77 and demonstrating accountability can help rebuild trust and promote voluntary compliance (Goren et al., 2022).

78 Service quality is essential for businesses to survive in today's competitive market. Recent studies emphasize
79 the quality of public service delivery, especially tax services, to improve taxpayers' compliance behavior
80 (Psomas, 2020). According to Fandy Tjiptono (2019), service quality is achieved when customer expectations
81 are satisfied. In the context of tax services, quality reflects taxpayers' perceptions of the services provided by
82 the tax authorities and government. Zeithaml et al. (1988) identified five dimensions of service quality, including
83 reliability, responsiveness, assurance, and tangibles. Thornton et al. (2019) added information, interaction, and
84 transaction services. Holis and Asmarani (2021) highlighted interaction quality and the physical environment as
85 critical points of service quality in tax offices. Mustapha and Obid (2015) emphasized responsiveness,
86 informativeness, and reliability is critical. Higher service quality promotes tax compliance and citizen adherence,
87 with developed countries treating taxpayers as important customers that boost compliance (Muhammad &
88 Saad, 2016). Conversely, poor service quality remains a problem in many developing countries, mirrored by low
89 tax revenues. A client-centric approach by tax authorities, which recognizes the need for multiple delivery
90 channels to maintain compliance through guidance rather than enforcement, can enhance tax compliance. Such
91 an approach increases satisfaction and compliance, while also enhancing the image of the tax authority.

92 For democratic stability, governance, and policy implementation, citizens' trust in their government is crucial,
93 affecting the legitimacy of government decisions and fostering cooperation towards tax compliance (Goren et
94 al., 2022). Mas' ud et al. (2019) define trust in government to be citizens' confidence in the morality of the

government's actions, creating a sense of duty for people to fulfil their tax obligations. Trust is intricately linked with democratic social capital, legitimacy, governance, and the ability of societies to make collective decisions. It is also dependent on fair treatment perceptions, equitable tax systems, and government accountability in the collection and use of tax revenue, where transparency and reliability enhance mutual trust (Byaro & Kinyondo, 2020). Prastiwi et al. (2021), argue that a psychological contract based on mutual cooperation is important for promoting policy conformity. Effective taxation regimes enhance compliance and address tax evasion by promoting a psychological bond between taxpayers and tax authorities. Furthermore, the government owes it to the people to ensure that taxes contribute to the public good, which in turn boosts taxpayers' faith in returns from their contributions and spurs motivation toward compliance (Castaneda & Doyle, 2019). Therefore, understanding the nexus between trust in government and tax compliance is central to society's democratic functioning.

Low tax compliance is a significant challenge for tax administrations globally, particularly in developing economies where it hampers the delivery of essential services like education and healthcare, crucial for poverty alleviation (Alm, 2019; Modica et al., 2018). The International Monetary Fund (IMF) emphasizes the urgent need for low-income countries to increase their revenue and fiscal resources to 15.4% of their Gross Domestic Product (GDP) to meet the Sustainable Development Goals by 2030, yet low- and middle-income countries averaged 10.7% in 2020, in stark contrast to 33.51% in OECD countries (Gaspar et al., 2019; Hamilton, 2021). Factors such as poor administrative performance, corruption, profit shifting by companies, low tax morale, lack of public trust, and the extensive presence of an informal economy contribute to this disparity (Dom et al., 2022). Kenya, exemplifies these fiscal challenges, with its tax-to-GDP ratio declining from 18% in 2013 to 14% in 2023, below both the sub-Saharan average of 16.5% and the East African Community target of 25%, prompting increased reliance on borrowing to cover fiscal deficits (Commission & Forum, 2023; Gort & Brooks, 2023; Ndikumana et al., 2020). Additionally, the informal economy, comprising 98% of all businesses in Kenya, contributes insignificantly to tax revenues, accounting for less than 1% of the total tax revenue collected (Larzen et al., 2020; Murunga et al., 2021). Despite initiates like taxpayer education and audits, non-compliance remains high (Oyollo, 2022).

Existing studies predominantly focus on the direct factors that influence taxpayers' intentions to comply (Bani-Khalid et al., 2022; Kassa, 2021), including the influence of tax service quality on tax compliance (Susuawu et al., 2020), and the impact of public trust on taxpayer behavior (Haning et al., 2020). Despite many studies having been carried out, findings on tax compliance are divergent, mixed, and at times conflicting (Yong & Freudenberg, 2020). While previous studies have explored the direct effects of tax service quality on compliance, few have examined the role of trust as a mediating variable. The majority of these studies have been conducted within industrialized countries with few studies specifically examining tax compliance in developing countries like Kenya remain. This study contributes to the literature by examining the mediating role of trust in government in the relationship between tax service quality and tax compliance among SMEs in Kenya. By focusing on the SME sector in Kenya, this study provides new insights into the challenges of tax compliance in developing countries and offers practical recommendations for improving tax administration.

Literature Review

Social Contract Theory

Social contract theory, advanced by such philosophers as Hobbes, Locke, and Rousseau during the 17th and 18th centuries, seeks to explain the emergence of state power and its role in maintaining social order (Smith et al., 2009). It describes the formation of a central authority that assumes the role of protecting its people in return for giving up some of their natural freedoms, driven by rational self-interest and the desire for self-

138 preservation. This exchange, interpreted as a 'social contract,' sets the foundation for social cooperation and
139 state legitimacy. Hobbes emphasized that without social arrangements, life would be "nasty, brutish, and short"
140 due to perpetual competition and war. He argued that self-sufficiency is unattainable without collective action,
141 and governance emerges for security and economic stability. Locke and Rousseau further developed these ideas,
142 with Locke emphasizing property rights and Rousseau focusing on the common good in shaping legitimate
143 governance.

144 In the context of taxation, social contract theory provides a powerful framework for understanding tax
145 compliance. It suggests that compliance with tax laws is strongly influenced by citizens' perceptions of
146 government legitimacy and fairness. A representative government that is transparent and accountable will instill
147 trust in taxpayers, encouraging voluntary compliance. In contrast, when governments are perceived as corrupt
148 or untrustworthy, citizens may feel less obligated to pay taxes (Goren et al., 2022). The theory posits that
149 compliance is not driven solely by coercive measures but by a perceived reciprocal relationship. Taxpayers view
150 paying taxes as part of their social contract, expecting their contributions to fund essential public services such
151 as infrastructure, healthcare, and education (Feld & Frey, 2019). When governments meet these expectations
152 and demonstrate accountability, taxpayers are more likely to comply voluntarily, recognizing their role in societal
153 welfare.

154 Social contract theory also emphasizes that taxation is integral to state legitimacy (Castaneda & Doyle, 2019). A
155 government that fails to provide adequate public goods or misuses tax revenues risks undermining its legitimacy,
156 leading to reduced tax compliance. This relationship highlights the importance of trust in government, not only
157 as a mediator of tax compliance but as a core element of the social contract itself. Trust, built on perceptions
158 of fairness, transparency, and effective service delivery, is crucial for fostering compliance in tax systems.

Tax Service Quality and Tax Compliance

160 The quality of tax services enhances compliance among taxpayers. Studies have consistently shown that if
161 taxpayers perceive the services offered by the tax authorities as easy to reach, effective, and responsive to their
162 needs, compliance levels improve. Larasati and Kurnia (2020) found that efficiency at the tax offices enhances
163 compliance through improved understanding and satisfaction of processes among taxpayers. Sukesi and
164 Yunaidah (2020) showed significant direct and indirect effects of tax socialization and service quality on
165 taxpayer satisfaction and compliance in East Java, Indonesia. Conversely, Bihabwa (2017) found in Uganda that
166 service quality enhancements have not directly translated to an improved perception of service delivery among
167 taxpayers.

168 *H$_{01}$: Tax service quality has no significant effect on tax compliance.*

Taxpayer Government Trust and Tax Compliance

170 Trust in government institutions and tax authorities is a critical determinant of tax compliance. institutional
171 trust positively affects the taxpayer's contribution to additional taxes regarding the welfare society under diverse
172 settings (Habibov et al., 2018). Mas' ud et al. (2019) explained that trust in the authorities significantly influences
173 compliance, indicating that the fairness and transparency of government actions increase trust among taxpayers.
174 Damayanti and Supramono (2019) found that mutual trust between the taxpayer and the tax-collecting agency
175 offsets the harmful effects of perceived governmental power on compliance, highlighting the importance of
176 building trust to enhance tax discipline.

177 *H$_{02}$: Trust in government has no significant effect on tax compliance.*

Tax Service Quality and Taxpayer Government Trust

High-quality public services play a critical role in building trust between government and citizens as a precondition for compliance. Alkraiji and Ameen (2022) emphasized the effective delivery of public service meets the pragmatic needs of citizens and cultivates mutual trust, which is indispensable for further compliance. Nurkholis et al. (2020) conducted a meta-analysis indicating that improvements in service quality, simplification of tax procedures, and creation of public confidence in state organs effectively reduce tax noncompliance. Dharmayanti (2023) found out that better quality service at tax offices promoted taxpayers trust and satisfaction, leading to improved observance of tax responsibilities. Budaharini et al. (2022) pointed out that quality service impacts customer trust, satisfaction, and loyalty towards tax compliance. These research findings collectively underline the role of service quality in establishing taxpayer trust and fostering compliance.

H₀₃: Tax service quality has no significant effect on trust in government.

Mediating Role of Taxpayer's Trust in Government

Trust in government institutions is crucial for tax compliance, serving as a mediator between service quality and voluntary compliance among MSME taxpayers (Ardhianto et al., 2022). It also positively impacts governance quality on tax compliance in Africa, underscoring accountability and political stability (Masud et al., 2021). Trust enhances the positive impact of service quality on voluntary compliance and is linked to perceptions of tax justice (Güzel et al., 2019). Effective tax policies build trust and reduce tax evasion, emphasizing trust's broader role in encouraging compliance (Adekoya et al., 2022).

H₀₄: Trust in government has no significant mediating effect on the relationship between tax service quality and tax compliance.

Methods

This study adopted a positivist philosophy, and established a scientific approach that focuses on objective truth and observable facts to understand social behavior . Positivism involves unbiased research, assuming that the research subject is independent of the researcher, and is grounded on hypothesis development based on existing theory (Bell et al., 2022).

The study used an explanatory research design to establish the mediating role of taxpayers' trust in government in the relationship between tax service quality and tax compliance among SMEs in the North Rift Economic Bloc, Kenya. An explanatory design helps to reveal causality and meaning, increases internal validity, and allows for replication (Zikmund et al., 2013). The deductive approach involves formulating hypotheses based on existing theory, testing these hypotheses, reviewing the findings, and then refining the theory as necessary. Consistent with the deductive approach and appropriate for hypothesis testing , a survey research strategy was undertaken to collect data. Surveys enable access to a wide range of participant perspectives and are thus cost-effective when data collection from large populations is required (Neuman, 2012).

The research focused on the North Rift Economic Bloc (NOREB) of Kenya, comprised of eight counties with varying socio-economic characteristics, thus providing a representative case. The target population was 21,232 SMEs in NOREB. The sample size was estimated to be 393 using Taro Yamane's formula. Each sector was equally represented by stratified sampling. Simple random sampling was used to determine the sample.

Primary data were collected using four sections: demographics, quality of the tax service, trust in government, and tax compliance. Structured questionnaires were used in collecting the data. Variables were measured on a 5-point Likert scale; operational items were taken from established previous research to keep the relevance of the present study.

218 Tax compliance, the dependent variable, refers to the adherence to tax laws through appropriate reporting of
219 income, tax liabilities, and timely filing of taxes, along payment of taxes. This study measured the compliance
220 of taxes on four dimensions, including accurate reporting of income, correct reporting of tax claims, filling
221 returns, and payment of tax obligations, by adopting 13 items from previous studies in that area i.e., (Wanjohi
222 et al., 2010), and responses quantified on a 5-point Likert scale format from Strongly Disagree to Strongly
223 Agree.

224 Tax service quality, the independent variable, was assessed using five dimensions including tangibility, reliability,
225 responsiveness, assurance, and empathy, using 12 modified items (Hardiyansyah & Efrina, 2018). Quality was
226 evaluated based on the difference between expected service and actual service received, rated on a 1 to 5 Likert
227 scale.

228 Trust in government, the mediating variable, was measured through three subscales: fairness, equity, reciprocity,
229 transparency, and accountability. Thirteen items were adapted from prior research (Güzel et al., 2019), and
230 respondents rated their trust on a Likert scale from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree.

231 Control variables included firm age, sector, and size. Firm age was categorized into four groups based on
232 operation duration. The SME sector was categorized into manufacturing, service, trade, and agriculture. Firm
233 size was evaluated by annual turnover, categorized into three ranges.

234 This study developed a multivariate model incorporating mediator variables to create a mediation model.
235 Hypotheses H_{01} to H_{04} were tested using foundational methods from Baron and Kenny (1986), and Hayes
236 (2013). The model applied hierarchical regression to examine the direct effects (H_{01}-H_{03}) on tax compliance
237 (dependent variable). The model used equations to test the impact of control variables, the independent variable
238 (tax service quality), and the mediator (trust in government).

239 ### Model 1: Testing Direct Effects:

240 $Y = \beta 0 + \beta 1 C + \varepsilon$ 1

241 $Y = \beta 0 + C + \beta 1 X + \varepsilon$……………………………………………….……..... 2 (H_{01})

242 $Y = \beta 0 + C + \beta 1 X + \beta 2 M + \varepsilon$…………………………………………………… 3 ($H_{02}$)

243 $M = \beta 0 + C + \beta 1 X + \varepsilon$…………………………………………………..………… 4 (H_{03})

244 ### Model 2: Testing for mediation

245 For mediation (H_{04}), MacKinnon's (2012) four-step method was applied, along with a bootstrap method to
246 confirm indirect effects.

247 Mediation = $a_1 \times b_1$…………………………..…………………………….……….. (H_{04})

248 Or C (Total effect) - C' (Direct effect)

249 The bias-corrected percentile bootstrap method determines whether the last condition is satisfied (H_{04}).

250 The total effect (C) is represented by the following equation: $Y = CX + \varepsilon = (a_1 \times b_1) + C'$.

251 Where: Y: Tax compliance; X: Tax service quality; M: Trust in government; and C: Covariates (firm age, sector,
252 size)

Results

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for the study's key constructs: tax compliance, tax service quality, and taxpayers' trust in government. These statistics shed light on respondents' perceptions and behaviour.

Tax Compliance had a mean score of 4.2241, suggesting a moderate level of compliance with tax regulations among respondents. The standard deviation of 0.3535 indicates moderate variability around this mean, while the skewness of 0.078 points to a near-normal distribution slightly skewed to the right, indicating a slight tendency towards higher compliance. The kurtosis value of 0.126 supports the reliability of this mean score, showing a relatively normal distribution without significant outliers.

Tax Service Quality shows a mean score of 4.4002, reflecting generally positive perceptions of tax service quality. The standard deviation of 0.41349 shows moderate variability in these perceptions. The skewness value of -0.100 suggests a slight left skewness, indicating a tendency towards higher ratings of service quality. Similar to TC, the kurtosis value of 0.126 indicates a normal distribution, further supporting the reliability of the mean score.

Taxpayers' Trust in Government had a mean score of 4.0477, indicating a moderate level of trust in government among taxpayers. The standard deviation of 0.40056 suggests moderate variability in trust levels, highlighting differences in how individuals trust government institutions. The skewness value of 0.102 points to a near-normal distribution with a slight right skewness, indicating a tendency towards higher levels of trust. The kurtosis value of 0.743 indicates a distribution slightly more peaked than normal, suggesting some concentration of responses around the mean but without extreme values impacting the overall trust perception.

Table 1: Summary of the Descriptive Statistics for the Constructs

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness		Kurtosis	
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic	Std. Error
Tax compliance (TC)	377	4.2241	.35353	.078	.126	-.067	.251
Tax service quality (TSQ)	377	4.4002	.41349	-.100	.126	-.600	.251
Taxpayers' trust in government (TGT)	377	4.0477	.40056	.102	.126	.743	.251
Valid N (listwise)	377						

These descriptive statistics provide a comprehensive overview of the respondents' perceptions and behaviors related to tax compliance, tax service quality, and trust in government. They highlight both the central tendencies and the variability within each construct, offering valuable insights for further analysis and interpretation in the study.

Table 2 below displays the correlation results, revealing that all variables were positively associated with tax compliance. Trust in government (TGT) had a moderate positive correlation (r = .551**, p < .01), indicating that higher quality tax services are associated with increased tax compliance among taxpayers. Tax service quality also showed a moderate positive correlation (r = .568**, p < .01), suggesting that greater trust in the government leads to higher tax compliance. Although weaker, there is still a positive correlation (.443**, p < .01) between tax service quality and taxpayers' trust in government, implying that better tax service quality can enhance trust in the government. These findings underscore the importance of improving tax service quality and building trust in government as effective strategies for increasing tax compliance. Tax authorities should prioritize high-quality service delivery and foster trust to achieve better compliance rates. The highest correlation coefficient is 0.568, which is below the threshold of 0.8, therefore, there is no evidence of multicollinearity in this study.

287 *Table 2: Pearson Correlation results*

	Tax compliance	Tax service quality	Trust in government
Tax compliance	1		
Tax service quality	.568**	1	
Trust in government	.551**	.443**	1
**** Correlation is significant at p <.01 (2-tailed)**			

288 The hypotheses were examined using the direct effects and mediation. The direct effects model assesses the
289 impact of a given predictor variable on an outcome variable. To assess mediation, Hayes (2018) Model 4 was
290 utilized. Mediation occurs when the effect of tax service quality on tax compliance is conveyed through
291 Taxpayers' trust in government. The trust in government variable is crucial for comprehending how tax service
292 quality is linked to it, leading to a mediation variable that subsequently affects tax compliance. Taxpayers' trust
293 in government is proposed to measure the mechanism through which tax service quality exerts its influence.

Effect of the Covariates in the study

295 The results from Model 1, shown in Table 3, indicate that firm age, sector, and turnover are all significant
296 predictors. Specifically, firm age ($\beta = .120$, $t = 3.474$, $p < .01$), sector ($\beta = .083$, $t = 2.302$, $p < .05$), and firm
297 size ($\beta = .167$, $t = 3.211$, $p < .01$) have positive effects. The model accounts for 8% of the variance in SMEs'
298 tax compliance ($R^2 = .080$), with an adjusted R^2 of .073, slightly lower to account for the number of predictors.
299 The standard error of the estimate (SEE) is .61144, and the F-statistic (10.845, $p < .001$) shows that the model
300 is statistically significant overall.

Effect of tax service quality on SME's tax compliance (H_{01})

302 The hypothesis H_{01} stated that tax service quality does not significantly affect SMEs' tax compliance. This was
303 tested using regression Model 2 in Table 3, controlling for firm age, sector, and firm size. The results show
304 significant positive influences from firm age ($\beta = .099$, $t = 3.392$, $p < .01$), sector ($\beta = .074$, $t = 2.461$, $p < .05$),
305 and firm size ($\beta = .175$, $t = 4.021$, $p < .001$). Additionally, tax service quality significantly and positively predicts
306 tax compliance ($\beta = .541$, $t = 12.541$, $p < .001$). The model explains 35.4% of the variance in tax compliance
307 ($R^2 = .354$), a notable improvement from Model 1 ($\Delta R^2 = .273$), with an adjusted R^2 of .347 and a decreased
308 standard error of .51330. The F-statistic (157.273, $p < .001$) confirms the model's significance, leading to the
309 rejection of Hypothesis H_{01}, which posited no significant effect.

Effect of Taxpayers' Trust in Government on Tax Compliance (H_{02})

311 The hypothesis H_{02} proposed that taxpayers' trust in government does not significantly affect SMEs' tax
312 compliance. This was tested using a regression model controlling for firm age, sector, firm size, and tax service
313 quality. Results revealed that all covariates significantly impacted tax compliance, with firm age ($\beta = .103$, $p =$
314 .007), sector ($\beta = .116$, $p = .002$), and firm size ($\beta = .160$, $p < .001$). Tax service quality remained a significant
315 predictor, though with a reduced coefficient ($\beta = .393$, $t = 9.444$, $p < .001$). Crucially, taxpayers' trust in
316 government significantly influenced tax compliance ($\beta = .375$, $t = 9.631$, $p < .001$), explaining 48.3% of the
317 variance in tax compliance ($R^2 = .483$). The model's overall significance was high (F = 92.754, $p < .001$), leading
318 to the rejection of H_{02}.

319

320 *Table 3 Results for Covariates and Direct Effects Hypotheses*

Var.	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Unstandardized Coefficients		Unstandardized Coefficients	
	β	t	β	t	β	t
(Constant)	3.101***	22.476	.926***	4.438	.035	0.168
Firm age	0.120**	3.474	.099**	3.392	.071**	2.711
Sector	0.083*	2.302	.074*	2.461	.083**	3.066
Firm size	0.167**	3.211	.175***	4.021	.167***	4.263
TSQ			.541***	12.541	.393***	9.444
TGT					.375***	9.631
R^2	.080		.354		.483	
Adj. R^2	.073		.347		.476	
SEE	.61144		.51330		.45972	
ΔR^2	.080		.273		.129	
F	10.845***		157.273***		92.754***	

Note: *p <.05, **p <.01, ***p <.001
Where; β = unstandardized parameter of estimates coefficients, Var = Variable Name, ETSG = tax service quality, TGT = trust in government.

321 Effect of Tax Service Quality on Taxpayers' Government Trust (H_{03})

322 The hypothesis H_{03} posited that tax service quality does not significantly affect taxpayers' government trust.
323 Initial results showed firm age had a positive effect on government trust (β = .090, t = 2.400, p < .05), but
324 sector and firm size were insignificant. This model explained a mere 1.6% of the variance (R^2 = .016). Adding
325 tax service quality increased explained variance to 15% (R^2 = .150), with significant impact (β = .395, t = 7.683,
326 p < .001), confirming the rejection of H_{03}.

327 *Table 4: Results for tax service quality on trust in government*

Var.	Model 1			Model 2		
	Unstandardized Coefficients			Unstandardized Coefficients		
	β	t		β	t	
(Constant)	3.966***		26.699	2.376	***	9.549
Firm age	.090	*	2.400	.074	*	2.123
Sector	-.017		-.435	-.023		-.640
Firm size	.017		.308	.024		.452
TSQ				.395	***	7.683
R^2	.016			.150		
Adj. R^2	.008			.141		
SEE	.65828			.61237		
ΔR^2	.016			.135		
F	1.973			59.026***		

Note: *p <.05, **p <.01, ***p <.001
Where; β = unstandardized parameter of estimates coefficients, t = t-statistic, Var = Variable Name, TSQ = tax service quality, TGT = trust in government.

328 Testing Mediating Effects of Government Trust (H$_{04}$)

329 The study examined whether government trust mediates the relationship between tax service quality and tax
330 compliance. Using MacKinnon's procedure and Hayes' PROCESS macro, conditions for mediation were met:
331 tax service quality significantly affected government trust (β = .3952, p < .001), government trust influenced
332 tax compliance (β = .3749, p < .001), and tax service quality had a significant direct effect on tax compliance (β
333 = .3926, p < .001). Mediation analysis confirmed a significant indirect effect (β = .1482), with the total effect
334 being .5408. This indicated partial mediation, leading to the rejection of H$_{04}$.

335 *Table 5: Results for Mediation and Total Effect*

Predictors names	Model 1 TGT	Model 2 TC	Model 3 Mediation M3= $a_1 \times b_1$	Model 4 Total effect=CI (TC)
	β	β	β	β
Firm age	.0738	.0712		.0988*
Sector	-.0231	.0830*		.0744
Firm size	.0235	.1666***		.1754***
TSQ	.3952***	.3926***		.5408***
TGT		.3749***		
R^2	.1504	.4828	a1=.3952x.3749=.1482	.3535
MSE	.3750	.2113	CI=.0980, .1994	.2635
F	14.0012***	90.4736***		59.1772***

Note: *p <.05, **p <.01, ***p <.001
Where; TGT = trust in government trust, TC = tax compliance, TSQ = tax service quality, CI = Confidence intervals, β = Unstandardized parameter estimates coefficients.

336 Discussion

337 The research delves into the significant factors influencing Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) tax
338 compliance, analyzed within the framework of existing literature and theories.

339 The study identified a significant positive link between tax service quality and SMEs tax compliance. The
340 statistical analysis revealed that tax service quality is a significant predictor of tax compliance (β = .524, p =
341 .0000), accounting for 27.3% of the variance in compliance levels. This establishes a baseline that governments
342 will get taxpayers to comply more often, but only if provided with good services in the first place . This result
343 is consistent with the study carried out by Jonis and Handryno (2021), which finds service quality to positively
344 influence taxpayer compliance. However, contrasting findings were reported by Sugiyarti et al. (2021), who
345 indicated a negative significant relationship between tax service quality and tax compliance for taxpayers,
346 suggesting ineffectiveness in enhancing compliance.

347 In this study, the results emphasized the role of government trust on the aspect of influencing SMEs' tax
348 compliance. The findings show that high trust in the government significantly influences tax compliance: β =
349 0.390, p = 0.000. According to the Political Legitimacy Theory, therefore, given political legitimacy by a
350 government institution, there should be an assurance of tax compliance. The findings align with most studies
351 for instance, the ones done by (Byaro & Kinyondo, 2020) indicating that trust in the government significantly
352 increases the magnitude of tax compliance. Conversely, low levels of compliance could result from uncertainty
353 over tax spending and lack of trust.

354 The results showed that there is an increase in trust in the government due to better tax service quality delivery;
355 this is significant at the 1% level of significance (β = .395, p = .000). This denotes that excellent and effective
356 tax services can genuinely build public trust in governmental institutions, thus supporting the Social Contract
357 Theory. The same was also found by (Bernarto et al., 2019), where it emerged that the higher the tax service
358 quality, the more positive the influence on trust in government.

359 In the research, government trust was found to mediate the relationship between tax service quality and
360 compliance. It mediates the relationship by adding the total effect to 54.08% when placed together with the
361 direct impact. Trust as a mediating variable aligns with the fiscal exchange theory, which posits that efficient
362 government services can build trust, leading to increased tax compliance behaviors. This finding extends the
363 current literature in that it has specified and contextualized the complementary mediation, which reveals that
364 both direct and indirect paths are significant and have a positive relationship.

Conclusion

366 The primary objectives of the study were to investigate the relationships between tax service quality, taxpayer
367 trust in government, and tax compliance among SMEs. In particular, it aimed to ascertain the direct influence
368 of the tax service quality and the taxpayer trust in government on tax compliance for SMEs and the direct
369 impact of the tax service quality on taxpayer government trust. additionally, it explored the mediating role of
370 taxpayers' government trust in the relationship between tax service quality and tax compliance among SMEs.

371 The findings demonstrate that both tax service quality and taxpayer trust in government have a significant
372 positive impact on tax compliance among SMEs. High-quality tax services lead to increased compliance,
373 highlighting the importance of delivering efficient, reliable, and user-centered services. Furthermore, tax service
374 quality directly influences taxpayer trust in government, underscoring the role of well-managed tax systems in
375 building trust, which is essential for voluntary compliance. Importantly, taxpayer trust in government also
376 mediates the relationship between tax service quality and compliance, suggesting that improving tax service
377 delivery not only directly enhances compliance but also promotes compliance by increasing trust in government.
378 These results underscore the need to improve tax service delivery and strengthen taxpayer trust as critical
379 strategies for enhancing tax compliance among SMEs.

Recommendations and Future Research

381 This study makes significant contributions to the understanding of tax compliance, particularly in the SME
382 sector, by examining the relationships between tax service quality, taxpayer trust in government, and compliance
383 behavior. It provides clear evidence that both higher service quality and increased trust in government play
384 critical roles in fostering voluntary tax compliance among SMEs. The findings highlight that delivering efficient,
385 reliable, and responsive tax services directly enhances compliance, while also strengthening taxpayer trust in
386 government, which acts as an additional catalyst for compliance.

387 The practical implications of these results are substantial for policymakers and tax authorities. Improving the
388 quality of tax services by streamlining processes, providing better customer support, and ensuring transparency,
389 can not only directly increase compliance but also build long-term trust in government institutions. Trust-
390 building measures, such as demonstrating accountability in the use of public funds and offering clearer
391 communication about the benefits of tax contributions, are equally essential. By fostering a reciprocal
392 relationship between the government and taxpayers, these strategies can reduce reliance on coercive
393 enforcement mechanisms, making compliance more sustainable.

In terms of future research, this study opens several promising avenues. First, exploring the role of cultural factors in shaping trust and compliance behaviors could provide deeper insights, especially in diverse socio-political contexts. Trust in government may vary significantly based on cultural attitudes toward authority and governance, and understanding these differences could help tailor compliance strategies to different regions or demographic groups. Additionally, conducting similar studies in other economic blocs, both within and beyond Kenya, would offer comparative insights and help generalize the findings to different economic settings. Examining the interplay of service quality, trust, and compliance in more developed economies or in contexts with different governance structures could further refine strategies for enhancing tax compliance.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement: The data that supports the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

Abdu, E., & Adem, M. (2023). Tax compliance behavior of taxpayers in Ethiopia: A review paper. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, *11*(1), 2189559.

Adekoya, A. A., Agbetunde, L. A., & Lawal, A. B. (2022). Trust relationship and tax compliance in developing countries-Informal sector perspectives. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, *10*(6), 309-332.

Alkraiji, A., & Ameen, N. (2022). The impact of service quality, trust and satisfaction on young citizen loyalty towards government e-services. *Information Technology & People*, *35*(4), 1239-1270.

Alm, J. (2019). Tax compliance and administration. In *Handbook on taxation* (pp. 741-768). Routledge.

Alm, J. (2023). Tax Compliance Technology, Trust, and Inequality in a Post-Pandemic World. *eJTR*, *21*, 152.

Alshira'h, A. F., Alsqour, M. d., Lutfi, A., Alsyouf, A., & Alshirah, M. (2020). A socio-economic model of sales tax compliance. *Economies*, *8*(4), 88.

Ardhianto, R. A., Bawono, I. R., & Sudibyo, Y. A. (2022). The Role Of Trust In The Voluntary Compliance Of MSME Taxpayers. *Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, *12*(1), 75-87.

Bani-Khalid, T., Alshira'h, A. F., & Alshirah, M. H. (2022). Determinants of tax compliance intention among Jordanian SMEs: A focus on the theory of planned behavior. *Economies*, *10*(2), 30.

Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, *51*(6), 1173.

Bell, E., Bryman, A., & Harley, B. (2022). *Business research methods*. Oxford university press.

Bernarto, I., Wilson, N., & Suryawan, I. N. (2019). Pengaruh website design quality, service quality, trust dan satisfaction terhadap repurchase intention (studi kasus: tokopedia. com). *Jurnal Manajemen Indonesia*, *19*(1), 80-90.

Bihabwa, E. (2017). The influence of tax compliance on service delivery in Uganda: a case study of Makindye Division Kampala.

Budaharini, I. A. P., Kusuma, I. G. A. T., Widyani, A. A. D., Sujana, I. W., & Villaceran, I. (2022). The effect of service quality and customer satisfaction on customer loyalty with customer trust as intervening variables in cv power hearing Bali. *International journal of sustainability, education, and Global creative economic (ijsegce)*, *5*(2), 107-124.

Byaro, M., & Kinyondo, A. (2020). Citizens' Trust in Government and Their Greater Willingness to Pay Taxes in Tanzania: A Case Study of Mtwara, Lindi, and Dar es Salaam Regions. *Poverty & Public Policy*, *12*(1), 73-83.

438   Castaneda, N., & Doyle, D. (2019). Progressive tax policy and informal labor in developing economies.
439   *Governance*, *32*(4), 595-618.

440   Commission, A. U., & Forum, A. T. A. (2023). *Revenue Statistics in Africa 2023*. OECD Publishing.

441   Damayanti, T. W., & Supramono, S. (2019). Trust reciprocity and power: An integration to create tax
442   compliance. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, *15*(1), 131-139.

443   Dang, V. C., & Nguyen, Q. K. (2022). Audit committee characteristics and tax avoidance: Evidence from an
444   emerging economy. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, *10*(1), 2023263.

445   Dharmayanti, N. (2023). The Effect Of Tax Office Service Quality And Taxpayer Income On Land And
446   Building Tax Compliance. *JAK (Jurnal Akuntansi) Kajian Ilmiah Akuntansi*, *10*(1), 48-64.

447   Di Nola, A., Kocharkov, G., Scholl, A., & Tkhir, A.-M. (2021). The aggregate consequences of tax evasion.
448   *Review of Economic Dynamics*, *40*, 198-227.

449   Dom, R., Prichard, W., Custers, A., & Davenport, S. (2022). Taxing SMEs. *Innovations in Tax Compliance: Building*
450   *Trust, Navigating Politics, and Tailoring Reform*.

451   Fandy Tjiptono, G. C. (2019). Service, quality dan customer satisfaction.

452   Feld, L., & Frey, B. (2019). *Tax compliance as the result of a psychological tax contract: The role of incentives and responsive*
453   *regulation*. Centre for Tax System Integrity (CTSI), Research School of Social Sciences ….

454   Gaspar, V., Amaglobeli, M. D., Garcia-Escribano, M. M., Prady, D., & Soto, M. (2019). *Fiscal policy and*
455   *development: Human, social, and physical investments for the SDGs*. International Monetary Fund.

456   Goren, T., Vashdi, D. R., & Beeri, I. (2022). Count on trust: the indirect effect of trust in government on policy
457   compliance with health behavior instructions. *Policy Sciences*, *55*(4), 593-630.

458   Gort, J., & Brooks, A. (2023). Africa's Next Debt Crisis: A Relational Comparison of Chinese and Western
459   Lending to Zambia. *Antipode*, *55*(3), 830-852.

460   Güzel, S. A., Özer, G., & Özcan, M. (2019). The effect of the variables of tax justice perception and trust in
461   government on tax compliance: The case of Turkey. *Journal of behavioral and experimental economics*, *78*, 80-
462   86.

463   Habibov, N., Cheung, A., & Auchynnikava, A. (2018). Does institutional trust increase willingness to pay more
464   taxes to support the welfare state? *Sociological Spectrum*, *38*(1), 51-68.

465   Hamilton, B. (2021). Tax Administration 2021: COMPARATIVE INFORMATION ON OECD AND
466   OTHER ADVANCED AND EMERGING ECONOMIES. *Tax Administration: Comparative Information*
467   *on OECD and Other Advanced and Emerging Economies*, 1-228.

468   Haning, M. T., Hamzah, H., & Tahili, M. H. (2020). The public trust of taxpayers at local government:
469   Explaining the role of good governance practices of tax service in Indonesia. *International Journal of*
470   *Advanced Science and Technology*, *29*(2), 1286-1299.

471   Hardiyansyah, L. N. I., & Efrina, R. (2018). JURNAL NATAPRAJA.

472   Hayes, A. F. (2013). Mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis. *Introduction to mediation, moderation,*
473   *and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach*, *1*(6), 12-20.

474   Ho, T. T., Tran, X. H., & Nguyen, Q. K. (2023). Tax revenue-economic growth relationship and the role of
475   trade openness in developing countries. *Cogent Business & Management*, *10*(2), 2213959.

476   Holis, N., & Asmarani, R. (2021). Skeeter's Struggle for Life Equality of Black Women in The Help Film. *Jurnal*
477   *Kata*, *5*(1), 37-45.

478   Jonis, S., & Handryno, S. (2021). The effect of tax service quality on taxpayers' compliance in paying land and
479   building tax. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, *11*(7), 637-641.

480   Kassa, E. T. (2021). Factors influencing taxpayers to engage in tax evasion: evidence from Woldia City
481   administration micro, small, and large enterprise taxpayers. *Journal of innovation and entrepreneurship*, *10*(1),
482   8.

483   Larasati, A. P., & Kurnia, K. (2020). Pengaruh E-Registration, E-Billing dan Pelayanan Fiskus terhadap
484   Kemauan Membayar Pajak. *Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Akuntansi (JIRA)*, *9*(6).

485 Larzen, S. J., Magero, P., Makanga, A., & Aondo, R. (2020). Increasing Tax Base in Kenya.

486 Makovicky, N., & Smith, R. (2020). Introduction: Tax beyond the social contract. *Social Analysis*, *64*(2), 1-17.

487 Maritim, J. C. (2020). *Tax Evasion in the Informal Sector in Kenya: Assessing the Adequacy of the Legal and Regulatory*
488 *Framework* University of Nairobi].

489 Mas' ud, A., Abd Manaf, N. A., & Saad, N. (2019). Trust and power as predictors of tax compliance: global
490 evidence. *Economics & Sociology*, *12*(2), 192-204.

491 Masud, A., Alkali, Y. M., & Aliyu, A. A. (2021). Mediating role of trust in the relationship between public
492 governance quality and tax compliance: An African cross-sectional analysis. *Bussecon Review of Social*
493 *Sciences (2687-2285)*, *3*(4), 11-22.

494 Modica, E., Laudage, S., & Harding, M. (2018). Domestic revenue mobilisation: A new database on tax levels
495 and structures in 80 countries.

496 Mohammed, H., & Tangl, A. (2023). Taxation Perspectives: Analyzing the Factors behind Viewing Taxes as
497 Punishment—A Comprehensive Study of Taxes as Service or Strain. *Journal of Risk and Financial*
498 *Management*, *17*(1), 5.

499 Muhammad, S., & Saad, R. A. J. (2016). Determinants of trust on zakat institutions and its dimensions on
500 intention to pay zakat: A pilot study. *Journal of Advanced Research in Business and Management Studies*, *3*(1),
501 40-46.

502 Murunga, J., Muriithi, M., & Wawire, M. (2021). The size of the informal sector and tax revenue in Kenya.
503 *Journal of Economics and Public Finance*, *7*(5), 15-35.

504 Mustapha, B., & Obid, S. N. B. S. (2015). Tax service quality: The mediating effect of perceived ease of use of
505 the online tax system. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *172*, 2-9.

506 Ndikumana, L., Mannah-Blankson, T., & Njuguna, A. E. (2020). Looming debt crisis in sub-Saharan Africa:
507 Drivers, implications and policy options.

508 Neuman, W. L. (2012). Designing the face-to-face survey. *Handbook of survey methodology for the social sciences*, 227-
509 248.

510 Nguyen, T. H. (2022). The impact of non-economic factors on voluntary tax compliance behavior: A case study
511 of small and medium enterprises in Vietnam. *Economies*, *10*(8), 179.

512 Nurkholis, N., Dularif, M., & Rustiarini, N. W. (2020). Tax evasion and service-trust paradigm: A meta-analysis.
513 *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1827699.

514 Odongo, Z. M., & Munene, R. (2023). Impact of Compliance Costs and Tax Education on Tax Compliance
515 among Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) in Kisumu County, Kenya. *Journal of Business,*
516 *Economics and Management Research Studies*, *1*(2), 31-45.

517 Oyollo, B. O. (2022). *Effects of Tax Reforms on Revenue, a Study of Kenya Revenue Authority* University of Nairobi].

518 Prastiwi, D., Narsa, I. M., & Diamastuti, E. (2021). Tax compliance: Respectful treatment and institutional
519 image. *The Indonesian Acounting Review*, *11*(2), 221-234.

520 Prichard, W., & Dom, R. (2022). Taxing at the Local Level. *Innovations in Tax Compliance: Building Trust, Navigating*
521 *Politics, and Tailoring Reform*.

522 Psomas, E. (2020). The originality of the lean manufacturing studies: a systematic literature review. *International*
523 *Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, *11*(2), 254-284.

524 Randlane, K. (2016). Tax compliance as a system: Mapping the field. *International Journal of Public Administration*,
525 *39*(7), 515-525.

526 Santoro, F., & Waiswa, R. (2024). How to improve tax compliance by wealthy individuals? Evidence from
527 Uganda. *Development Policy Review*, *42*(2), e12754.

528 Sebele-Mpofu, F. Y. (2020). Governance quality and tax morale and compliance in Zimbabwe's informal sector.
529 *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1794662.

530 Smith, N. C., Kimmel, A. J., & Klein, J. G. (2009). Social contract theory and the ethics of deception in
531 consumer research. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *19*(3), 486-496.

532 Sugiyarti, S., Larasati, E., Suwitri, S., & Ngatno, N. (2021). Tax Service Quality In Increasing Taxpayer
533   Compliance In The Cirebon Satu Pratama Office. *Proceedings of the 1st Tidar International*
534   *Conference on Advancing Local Wisdom Towards Global Megatrends, TIC 2020, 21-22 October 2020,*
535   *Magelang, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia,*

536 Sukesi, S., & Yunaidah, I. (2020). The effect of tax socialization, superior service, and service quality on
537   taxpayers' satisfaction and compliance. *Journal of Economics, Business, and Accountancy Ventura, 22*(3).

538 Susuawu, D., Ofori-Boateng, K., & Amoh, J. K. (2020). Does service quality influence tax compliance behaviour
539   of SMEs? A new perspective from Ghana. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 10*(6), 50-
540   56.

541 Thornton, E. M., Aknin, L. B., Branscombe, N. R., & Helliwell, J. F. (2019). Prosocial perceptions of taxation
542   predict support for taxes. *PloS one, 14*(11), e0225730.

543 Wanjohi, M. S., Magutu, P. O., Mokoro, J. M., & Lumumba, O. M. (2010). Taxpayers' attitudes and tax
544   compliance behaviour in Kenya.

545 Yong, D. S., & Freudenberg, B. (2020). Perceptions of Tax Compliance by SMEs and Tax Practitioners in New
546   Zealand: A Divergent View? *New Zealand Journal of Taxation Law and Policy, 26*(1), 57-85.

547 Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1988). Communication and control processes in the delivery
548   of service quality. *Journal of marketing, 52*(2), 35-48.

549 Zikmund, W., Babin, B., Carr, J., & Griffin, M. (2013). Business Research Methods, 9th International Edition.
550   *South-Western Cengage Learning, Canada.*

551